New Thinking in Water Governance

Institute of Water Policy, Singapore, 3rd July 2009

"Can incentives motivate sustainable domestic water consumption?"

WONG Xin Wei
PUB and Oxford University

Objectives

- Make survey findings *clear* and *fully* understandable
- Usefulness and limitations of study
- Future potential

Research Questions

- Can incentives influence domestic water use behaviour?
- What type of incentives do individuals prefer?
- What type of water saving measures do individuals prefer?

Methodology

- Choice experiment with optional qualitative fields
- Two type of water saving measures. Three incentives, three domains
- Internet mediated behavioural research
- Quantitative analysis: Chi-square test and Wilcoxon signed rank test
- Qualitative analysis: Present unedited

Survey Design

Choice Experiment Generic Levels and Attributes (New Design)

Attributes	Levels					
Water saving measure	Adopt water saving behaviour for 3 months		Adopt water saving technology			
Incentives	behaviour enter behaviou		ers that ater saving ar receive ount in water	Consumers that adopt water saving behaviour can opt for donation* to a water charity. (Altruism)		

^{*}Amount equivalent to 5% discount in water bill

Choice experiment

Option A

Reduce shower time to 5 minutes for 3 months

Enter lottery for luxury hybrid car



Purchase and install water efficient showerhead

Enter lottery for luxury hybrid car

Option C

Maintain current behaviour



Option A

Turn off tap while brushing teeth and washing for 3 months

Receive 5% discount on water bills



Purchase and install water efficient tap

Donation to water charity



Option C

Maintain current behaviour



Option A

Use a **full load** for dishwasher & washing machine for 3 months

Donation to water charity



Option B

Purchase and use water efficient dishwasher and washing machine

Enter lottery for luxury hybrid car



Sample

• 1117 fully completed survey responses

from 48 countries

463 from Singapore

• 330 from the UK



• Publicity avenues: Mailing lists, personalised email, web advertisement, Facebook, blogs

Findings from Survey - UK

• General preference for water saving measures:

Prefer behavioural change to adopting water efficient technology

• Specific preferences for water saving measures

Shower - *Prefer* efficient shower head *to* reducing shower time **Wash Basin** - *Prefer* tap off while washing *to* installing tap aerator

Laundry and Dishwashing - Prefer using full loads to installing water efficient appliances

Findings from Survey - Spore

• General preference for water saving measures:

Prefer adopting water efficient technology to behavioural change

• Specific preferences for water saving measures

Shower - *Prefer* efficient shower head *to* reducing shower time **Wash Basin** - *Prefer* tap off while washing *to* installing tap aerator

Laundry and Dishwashing - Slightly prefer (not statistically significant) installing water efficient appliances to using full load

Votes for measures

Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test Statistics for Water Saving Measures

		Singapore		UK	
	•	Z	Asymp. Sig	Z	Asymp. Sig
			(2 -tailed)		(2-tailed)
Shower	T – B Votes	10.952a	.000	5.678a	.000
	B - SQ Votes	11.147ª	.000	7.602ª	.000
	T-SQ.Votes	$16.874^{\rm a}$.000	$11.084^{\rm a}$.000
Basin	T – B Votes	$6.094^{\rm b}$.000	8.555b	.000
	B - SQ Votes	$16.129^{\rm a}$.000	12.699^{a}	.000
	T – SQ Votes	13.595^{a}	.000	8.511a	.000
Laundry/	T – B Votes	1.686ª	.092	6.159 ^b	.000
Dishwashing	B - SQ Votes	11.962a	.000	10.467a	.000
	T – SQ Votes	12.668a	.000	5.866^{a}	.000
Total from	T – B Votes	2.238a	.025	5.158 ^b	.000
three domains	B - SQ Votes	$16.134^{\rm a}$.000	$12.494^{\rm a}$.000
	T – SQ Votes	16.657a	.000	10.353a	.000

Technological (T), Behavioural (B), Status Quo (SQ)

a. Based on positive ranks.

b. Based on negative ranks.

Votes for incentives

Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test Statistics for Incentive Votes

		Singapore		UK	
		Z	Asymp. Sig	Z	Asymp. Sig
			(2 -tailed)		(2-tailed)
Shower	D – L votes	12.997a	.000	11.418 ^a	.000
	D-C Votes	13.077a	.000	10.611=	.000
	L-C Votes	2.234**	.026	2.104^{b}	.035
Basin	D – L votes	12.105a	.000	10.765 ²	.000
	D – C Votes	12.498^{a}	.000	10.238^{a}	.000
	L-C Votes	3.114^a	.002	$1.620^{\rm b}$.105
Laundry/	D – L votes	11.261ª	.000	9.0312	.000
Dishwashing	D-C Votes	11.307^{a}	.000	8.177a	.000
	L-C Votes	1.717=	.086	1.679 ^b	.093
Total	D – L votes	14.088a	.000	12.233ª	.000
	D-C Votes	14.376^{a}	.000	11.492^a	.000
	L-C Votes	2.660ª	.008	2.267b	.023

Discount (D), Lottery (L), Charity (C)

a. Based on positive ranks.

b. Based on negative ranks.

Comments

"I am not sure how a water charity works, I am suspicious of it, and I will thus not donate to it."

> "I would prefer to adapt my behaviour to conserve water. Also, it is an added bonus to receive a discount on your bill or to send a donation to charity - it feels more positive than being entered for a lottery!"

"I am motivated by money, not charity. And the chance of winning the lottery is too low to be an effective incentive. I will do the cheapest option (turning off tap whilst brushing teeth) in order to save on my water bill."

"Singaporeans don't use dishwashers."

"A water-efficient tap seems to be a long-term solution to saving water. And I have this thing about leaving the tap running while I brush my teeth - it just seems cleaner (irrational I know!)"

"Perhaps manufacturers should only produce water efficient machines and this is the correct way forwards, rather than trying to bully consumers?"

Usefulness

- Theoretical justification on the role of incentives for water conservation
- High proportion of individuals from the Internet generation.
- Variety of incentives

Limitations

- Internet sampling biases
- Constraints on implementing incentives

Future potential

- Research model web based stated choices survey
- Practical implementation of creatively designed policies. Incentives.
 Conservation challenge. Dynamic pricing. Choice architecture.

Acknowledgements

- Oxford Centre for Water Research, Waterwise UK, PUB Singapore, Linacre College, SurveyGizmo
- Dr Robert Hope, Dr Michael Rouse, Mr Ryan Yuen, Mr Khoo Teng Chye, Gareth Walker, Joanne Zgymunt, Professor Jordan Louivere, Dr Victor Corral Verdugo
- James, Alex, Katherine, Bharat, Dennis, Zhihong, Alvin, Maria, Moses, Jablanka, Amina, Rebecca, Edwin, Gangwei, Mark, Neil, Bingxiong, Lingli, Gerard. Christopher, Ian, David, Dr Nick Brown, Shaopeng, Yishu, Camilla, Edd, Michelle, Shiying, Wanzhen, David, Andre, Brenda, Angela, Kelvin, Zigui
- Tong Hock, Choo Kim, Sing Chuan, Sing Kang, Florrine

Thank you!

loveforwater.org